

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/715,156	WRIGHT, RONDAL BRENT	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sarah Purol	3634	

All Participants:

(1) Sarah Purol.

(2) Laura Hagan.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☐ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

16 and 17

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claims 16 and 17 will be allowed. All other claims will be cancelled. Applicant reserves the right to file a continuation-in-part for the method. See attached examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)